

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/779,734	Applicant(s) KUROKI, KOJI	
	Examiner Terry L. Englund	Art Unit 2816	

All Participants:

Status of Application: now allowed

(1) Examiner Terry L. Englund.

(3) _____.

(2) Applicant's Rep Donald Studebaker (Reg No 32,815).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2005

Time: 10:45AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 1 and 3 lacked (critical) structural relationships with respect to the first/second inverter circuits' outputs.

Claims discussed:

1-6

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative gave approval for changes addressing concerns identified by the examiner, and also requested the removal of some reference designators recited within the claims. Details are in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Terry L. Englund
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)